

1 TRACY L. WILKISON
 United States Attorney
 2 CHRISTOPHER D. GRIGG
 Assistant United States Attorney
 3 Chief, National Security Division
 SOLOMON KIM (Cal. Bar No. 311466)
 4 Assistant United States Attorney
 Terrorism and Export Crimes Section
 5 1500 United States Courthouse
 312 North Spring Street
 6 Los Angeles, California 90012
 Telephone: (213) 894-2450
 7 Facsimile: (213) 894-0104
 E-mail: solomon.kim@usdoj.gov

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

11 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 ROBERT RUNDO,
 ROBERT BOMAN,
 16 AARON EASON, and
 TYLER LAUBE,
 17 Defendants.

No. CR 18-759-CJC

[PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL
 DATE AND FINDINGS REGARDING
 EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS PURSUANT
 TO SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

[PROPOSED] TRIAL DATE: 12/13/2022
**[PROPOSED] PRETRIAL
 CONFERENCE: 12/5/2022**
**[PROPOSED] MOTIONS
 HEARING: 09/26/2022**

20 The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding
 21 Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of
 22 Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the
 23 parties in this matter on March 17, 2022. The Court hereby finds
 24 that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into
 25 this Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the
 26 trial date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of
 27 excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.
 28

1 The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by
2 the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and
3 defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance
4 would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible,
5 or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the
6 continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel
7 and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for
8 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due
9 diligence.

10 THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

11 1. The trial in this matter is continued to December 13, 2022.
12 The Pretrial Conference is continued to December 5, 2022.

13 2. A motion schedule is set as follows: motions to be filed by
14 August 1, 2022; oppositions to be filed by August 15, 2022; replies
15 to be filed by August 22, 2022; and any motions hearing on September
16 26, 2022 at 1:00 p.m.

17 3. The time period from the date of this Order to December 13,
18 2022, inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the
19 trial must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A),
20 (h)(7)(B)(i), and (B)(iv).

21 4. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other
22 provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time
23 periods are excluded from the period within which trial must
24 commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of
25 the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of
26 additional time periods from the period within which trial must
27 commence.

1 5. The United States Probation Office is ordered to interview
2 all defendants and prepare a Pretrial Services Report by April 7,
3 2022 so that the Court can assess defendants' pretrial release
4 conditions or detention.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6
7 _____
8 DATE

THE HONORABLE CORMAC J. CARNEY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

9
10
11 Presented by:

12 _____
13 /s/
14 SOLOMON KIM
15 Assistant United States Attorney

16 CC: United States Probation Office
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28